UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,653	08/26/2005	Klaus Dieter Liedtke	0745/73621/NHZ	7422
Norman H Zivii	7590 07/25/200 <b>n</b>	EXAMINER		
Cooper & Dunh		LENNOX, NATALIE		
1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/519,653	LIEDTKE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		TO THE ELITION	2020	
The MAILING DATE of ti	his communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 03 July 2008 FAI	LS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
application in condition for allow	ly file one of the following vance; (2) a Notice of Appe	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affiday eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	it, or other evidence, which place with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Req	s the
a) 🔲 The period for reply expires _	months from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
no event, however, will the stat  Examiner Note: If box 1 is chec	utory period for reply expire lacked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJ Extensions of time may be obtained under have been filed is the date for purposes of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: ( set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any rep may reduce any earned patent term adjust NOTICE OF APPEAL	37 CFR 1.136(a). The date determining the period of extending the period of extending the expiration date of the style received by the Office later	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orighthan three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extensio inally set in the final Office action; or	n fee (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed of	on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the da	te of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 C	FR 41.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to ithin the time period set forth in 37	avoid dismissal of the appeal. S	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) find the proposed amendment (s) find (a)      ☐ They raise the issue of new issue issue is new is new issue is new issue is new is nea</li></ol>	at would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NO		
(c) They are not deemed to p	place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re		or
	laims without canceling a c <u>n Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
_	·	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amondment (PTOL 324)	`
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome</li></ul>	•		mpliant Amendment (FTOL-324)	<i>)</i> ·
		· lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment canceling	a the
non-allowable claim(s).	. ,	·		_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the pro- how the new or amended claims The status of the claim(s) is (or Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	s would be rejected is prov	☑ will not be entered, or b) □ w vided below or appended.	Il be entered and an explanation	of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-5</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consid AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	eration: <u>2</u> .			
8.  The affidavit or other evidence f	ride a showing of good and	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida		
	other evidence failed to o	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide	e a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATIO		n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.	
11. The request for reconsideratio		t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance because	e:
12. Note the attached Information 13. Other:	Disclosure Statement(s). (	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Richemond Dorvil/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Ar	t Unit 2626			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Regarding applicant's arguments as provided in page 5 of the Remarks, applicant argues that "Following tokenization, a stemmer prociess is executed for analyzing the tokens to determine their respecitive stem. However, as described at Col. 34, lines 47-50 of Bennett, while the stemmer associates an input word with its stem, "it does not have parts of speech information."". Examiner respectfully disagrees given that Col. 34, lines 42-47 clearly present the use of "tagger 804" which outputs "a string with each token tagged with a parts-of-speech label 805." Applicant pointed out to Col. 34, lines 23-31, where a "stemmer process 804A" is described, and applicant is correct in that the stemmer does not have parts of speech information, however examiner had pointed out to the description of the "tagger 804" which forms part of the "Analyzer 806B" (from Fig. 9), and as pointed out in Col. 34, lines 31-33 "Analyzer 806B takes a word independent of context, and returns a set of possible parts of speech 806A." Figure 9 clearly shows the Stemmer 804A and the Analyzer 806B as two different functions. Further, applicant's arguments in Remarks page 6, lines 5-8 are directed to the new amendments to claim 1 which introduces new issues and would require some further search and consideration.